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## PREFACE

Tourism is the main economic activity on the island for the past decades. There is, without any doubt, need for statistical data in the tourism industry, especially in the cruise tourism industry, to measure the economic benefit that this industry provides. Statistical data is also essential for public policy makers and the private sector that benefits from this industry to better formulate marketing and development plans.
For this reason the Central Bureau of Statistics, after conducting a similar survey in 1997, has chosen to carry out another Cruise Surveys, with the primary reason to measure the tourist expenditures and the satisfaction level of cruise visitors, among other reasons. Marketing policies in the private and public sector are based on survey results and in the National Accounts framework, this data is very important for estimating the direct and the indirect effect of the Tourism Industry in the island干economy.

In this present report you will find the results of the ACBS Cruise Survey 2002@executed by the Central Bureau of Statistics. This report contains preliminary results in table format with very little analysis of the figures. Much analysis is not really necessary since the tables are on themselves self explanatory. The report initiates with a section called "Highlights", which contains a brief description and analysis of the main results extracted from the survey.

The realization of the "CBS Cruise Survey 2002" would not have been possible without the excellent work and dedication of the interview team and the initiative and the cooperation of the Central Bureau of Statistics Tourism project group, which consists of Louisette Christiaans-Yarzagaray M.Sc. (project leader), Mary Tromp-Geerman (assistant), and Marlene Barendregt-Croes (assistant). This project also would not have been possible thanks to the excellent assistance of the Central Bureau of Statistics automation staff, especially Marjolene van der Biezen-Marques B.A. Their assistance was imperial to this project since, as many other projects within the Central Bureau of Statistics nowadays, all the data depend on a scanning process because the data-entry system has been replaced by a scanning.

The Central Bureau of Statistics hopes that this "CBS Cruise Survey 2002" will provide all the users with valuable and necessary information for the future developments in this industry. Many other information, which is not immediately presented in this publication, could be extracted from the survey and made available for the general public. This information will be extracted on a request basis. Thus, for any other information needed from this survey or for any comments, feedback or further information, feel free to contact the Central Bureau of Statistics.

Drs. R.A. Lee
Director of the Central Bureau of Statistics of Aruba
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## 1. HIGHLIGHTS

Worldwide tourism has assumed considerable importance especially its role in the economic development. Tourism is one of the main economic activities in Aruba. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor any positive or negative development. For the cruise tourism market this is no different.
The goal of this publication is to give an indication, in the form of statistical data, of the economic benefit that cruise tourism provides. Cruise statistics and tourism statistics in general can help policy-makers in the public and the private sector gain a better view of cruise tourism's overall importance and can help with a better marketing plan for each of the sectors that benefit from this industry.
The following gives a general view of the cruise industry in 2002 by means of graphs and analysis of the most important results based on the "CBS Cruise Survey 2002".

## Place of residence of the passengers

When looking at the number of cruise visitors for the past ten to twelve years, one can see that this number has quadrupled over the period of 1990 to 2002. In the year 2002, the number of passengers more than doubled compared to the year 1999.
The results of the "CBS Cruise Survey 2002" show that 58.8 percent of the total interviewed cruise visitors were from the United States, 18.8 percent were from Europe and another 13.1 percent were from the Caribbean. Of the remaining interviewed cruise visitors, 7.6 percent were from Canada, while only 0.8 percent came from Central America. The cruise visitors' market from the US decreased with 13.8 percent compared to 1997 while the market from Europe increased with 5.8 percent compared to 1997. The market from the Caribbean region more than doubled. Cruise visitors from Central America decreased considerably compared to 1997. Furthermore, the survey did not register any visitor by cruise from South America.
The passengers from the US were mainly from the states of California, Florida and New York. These were the same three main states of the US as the in 1997 survey, with the only difference that visitors from California increased with a little more than 50 percent. The passengers from Europe were mainly from Germany and Great Brittan.


From the interviewed cruise passengers, 79.6 percent came to Aruba for the first time by cruise, while 76.3 percent of the interviewed passengers came to Aruba for the first time. This means that 3.3 percent of these passengers already visited Aruba by air. In 1997, 83.4 percent of all the interviewed passengers visited Aruba for the first time by cruise. Of the interviewed passengers in 2002, 20.4 percent visited Aruba more than once by cruise. If we look at the overall number of visit to Aruba, by cruise and by air, of all the interviewed cruise visitors, 23.5 percent were repeat visitors.


## Cruise visitors decision making

All the cruise visitors who were interviewed were asked if the presence of Aruba on the cruise itinerary influenced their decision to take the cruise. They were also asked what was the single most important source of information when making the decision to take this cruise. The results show that 48.4 percent of the cruise visitors took the cruise because Aruba was on the cruise itinerary. Based on the answers received from visitors who took the cruise because Aruba was on the itinerary, it can be concluded friends and relatives and travel agents were the main sources of information used by cruise visitors. On the other hand, television ads, radio ads and tourist boards seem to be the less effective sources of information for the visitors.
For the remaining cruise visitors, what influenced them the most to take the cruise was the Panama Canal, friends and relatives, the trip itself (pleasure), the Caribbean in general and the prices (package deals) among others.


Repeaters

## Expenditures

According to the result of the survey, each interviewed cruise passenger spent an average of US $\$ 112.11$ while they were in Aruba. The passengers spent most of their money on shopping. Of the average expenditure, a little more than 80 percent was spent on shopping, of which 73 percent was on shopping and another 7.7 percent was spent on tax free shopping. Besides shopping, little more than 6 percent was spent in casinos, 5.4 percent on food and beverage and another 3.7 percent on tours and excursions.
Compared to 1997, the average expenditure of cruise passengers increased with 75.5 percent. This considerable increase was mainly due to a 175.5 percent increase in expenditures on shopping. When comparing the survey results of 2002 with 1997, it can be concluded that the expenditure pattern of cruise visitors changed radically in 5 years, indicating as though most cruise visitors are targeting more and more on the shopping aspects of the cruis e, rather than taking tours and excursions to get to know the island. The average package price paid by the interviewed passengers in 2002 was US $\$ 1,398$, which is 4.3 percent lower than in 1997. This is probably due to more and more specials and offers for lower package rates and more promotions for cruise ship packages. The average price of tours and excursions in Aruba sold onboard the cruise ships in 2002 was US $\$ 13.90$ while in 1997 this was US $\$ 16.60$.


## Rating of satisfaction of the cruise visitors

Based on the questions of ratings of value for money and level of satisfaction of goods and services, a relatively high percentage of interviewed cruise passengers answered Alnknown@in 2002. This was also the case in 1997. This could be attributable to the respondents who did not experience the service, did not buy the good or did not want to answer the question.
The interviewed passengers were relatively satisfied with the value for money paid for the products and services of Aruba. They were primarily satisfied with the tours and excursions they took in Aruba. Shopping, on the other hand, had the highest percentage of dissatisfaction rate. Local transportation, as in the year 1997, was the service less used among the goods and services used by the passengers in 2002.

In general, the interviewed passengers were very satisfied with the quality of service in Aruba. In the year 2002, cruise passengers were particularly satisfied with the people of Aruba, which had a 52.9 percent rating of very satisfied, the
highest rating of all the categories. Cruise passengers were also very satisfied with shopping, while shopping again had the highest rating of dissatisfaction also. The interviewed cruise visitors were relatively very satisfied with the quality of service, the beaches and the tours and excursions in Aruba. Interviewed passengers were also asked what they enjoyed most and what they enjoyed least in Aruba. Cruise passengers enjoyed the sightseeing and the beaches the most. In 2002, of all the interviewed visitors, 14.8 percent answered that they enjoyed everything. What they enjoyed the least was the weather (warm). Remarkable was that a total of 61.9 percent of all the cruise visitors did not have a least enjoyable aspect of Aruba. Compared to he 1997, 68.2 percent of the interviewed cruise passengers answered that they did not have a least enjoyable aspect.



## Cruise visitors opinion of next vacation

Cruise passengers were asked based on their experience of this vacation, what they are most likely to do on their next vacation. Of all the interviewed passengers, 43.5 percent think that they will take another cruise and 26.2 percent answered that they would like to visit Aruba for a land based vacation. Another 8.6 percent of the interviewed visitors answered that they will combine a cruise and a land based vacation to Aruba, while another 8.4 percent think that they are going to visit another Caribbean island for a land based vacation. The interviewed visitors were also asked, based on the ports just visited, which port they would like to return to if they were to take another cruise. Almost 37 percent of the visitors answered that they would like to return to Aruba while 45.8 percent of the passengers could not give an answer since Aruba was one of the first ports visited.

## 2. List of tables

2.1 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY CRUISE SHIP

| Name of cruise ship | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Mercury | 30 | 2.6 |
| Dawn Princess | 32 | 2.8 |
| Costa Romantica | 87 | 7.6 |
| Zaandam | 27 | 2.3 |
| Norwegian Sea | 2.5 |  |
| Volendam | 29 | 4.5 |
| Crown Princess | 52 | 2.6 |
| Carnival Destiny | 30 | 15.7 |
| Sunbird | 181 | 2.4 |
| Summit | 28 | 3.2 |
| Grandeur of the Seas | 37 | 3.2 |
| Ocean Princess | 37 | 2.3 |
| Adventure of the seas | 27 | 18.2 |
| Sea Princess | 209 | 3.0 |
| Paradise | 34 | 3.4 |
| Infinity | 39 | 2.9 |
| Sun Princess | 33 | 3.0 |
| Rotterdam | 34 | 4.0 |
| Brilliance of the Seas | 46 | 5.7 |
| Royal Princess | 66 | 2.0 |
| Oceana | 23 | 2.9 |
| Crystal Symphony | 33 | 1.7 |
| Breamar | 19 | 1.6 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.2 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE

| Country | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| United States | 677 | 58.8 |
| Canada | 87 | 7.6 |
| Europe | 216 | 18.8 |
|  | 9.8 | 0.8 |
| Central America | 151 | 13.1 |
| Caribbean | 11 | 1.0 |
| Other |  |  |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.3 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY MOST IMPORTANT STATES OF THE UNITED STATES

| State | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Florida | 88 | 13.0 |
| California | 107 | 15.8 |
| New York | 52 | 7.7 |
| New Jersey | 29 | 4.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 20 | 3.0 |
| Ohio | 22 | 3.2 |
| Michigan | 23 | 3.4 |
| Illinois | 22 | 3.2 |
| Maryland | 15 | 2.2 |
| Washington | 21 | 3.1 |
| Texas | 23 | 3.4 |
| Massachusetts | 25 | 3.7 |
| Other | 229 | 33.8 |
| Unknown | 1 | 0.1 |


| Country |  | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Great Britain | $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
|  | Italy | 110 | 50.9 |
| France | 43 | 19.9 |  |
| Netherlands | 21 | 9.7 |  |
| Belgium | 12 | 5.6 |  |
| Germany | 11 | 5.1 |  |
| Switzerland | 7 | 3.2 |  |
| Spain | 7 | 3.2 |  |
|  | Other Europe | 2 | 0.9 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.5 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY MOST IMPORTANT CARIBBEAN ISLAND

| Island | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Puerto Rico | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | Barbados | 125 |
| Guadeloupe | 6 | 82.8 |
| Martinique | 5 | 4.0 |
|  | 3 | 3.3 |
|  | U.S. Virgin Islands | Other |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.6 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY MOST IMPORTANT OTHER COUNTRIES

| Island |  | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | Australia | 6 | 54.5 |
|  | Philippines | 2 | 18.2 |
|  | India | 1 | 9.1 |
|  | Other | 2 | 18.2 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.7 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY NUMBER OF CRUISE VISITS

| Number of cruise visits | Absolute | Relative \% |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | First visit | 916 | 79.6 |
|  | More than once | 235 | 20.4 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.8 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY TOTAL NUMBER OF VISITS

| Number of visits |  | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
| First visit | 878 | 76.3 |  |
| Second visit | 185 | 16.1 |  |
| Third visit | 40 | 3.5 |  |
| Four or more visits | 45 | 3.9 |  |
| Unknown | 3 | 0.3 |  |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.9 DID THE PRESENCE OF ARUBA ON THE CRUISE ITINERARY INFLUENCE THE

 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS' DECISION TO COME TO ARUBA?| Response |  | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
|  | Yes | 558 | 48.4 |
| No | 591 | 51.3 |  |
|  | Unknown | 3 | 0.3 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.10 SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT SOURCE OF INFORMATION IN MAKING DECISION TO VISIT ARUBA IF ARUBA'S PRESENCE ON THE ITINERARY INFLUENCED THE PASSENGERS' DECISION TO COME TO ARUBA

| Source of information | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Friends / Relatives | $\mathbf{5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Travel Agents | 214 | 38.4 |
| Newspaper / Magazine | 173 | 31.0 |
| Television/Radio Ad | 34 | 6.1 |
| Internet | 8 | 1.4 |
| Tourist Board | 38 | 6.8 |
| Experience (prior visit) | 8 | 1.4 |
| Other | 51 | 9.1 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.11 WHAT INFLUENCED PASSENGERS TO TAKE A CRUISE TO ARUBA IF ARUBA'S PRESENCE ON THE ITINERARY DID NOT INFLUENCE THE PASSENGERS' DECISION TO COME TO ARUBA

| Influence | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Panama Canal | $\mathbf{5 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Friends \& relatives | 155 | 26.2 |
| Vacation (the trip)/ rest / pleasure | 86 | 14.6 |
| Caribbean (other islands) in general | 76 | 12.9 |
| Attractive price / package (specials) | 59 | 10.0 |
| Cruising (Experience / take a cruise) | 47 | 8.0 |
| Cruise Line | 30 | 5.1 |
| Gift / Won a cruise (free) | 24 | 4.1 |
| Promotion (magazine / TV / travel agent)) | 18 | 3.0 |
| Special occasion (anniversary) | 14 | 2.4 |
| Weather | 10 | 1.7 |
| The route (itinerary) | 9 | 1.5 |
| Honeymoon | 9 | 1.5 |
| South America | 7 | 1.2 |
| Central America | 10 | 1.7 |
| Aruba | 3 | 0.5 |
| Repeat | 3 | 0.5 |
| Other | 3 | 0.5 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.12 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY MARITAL STATUS

| Status | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Never married | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Married | 155 | 13.5 |
| Widowed | 866 | 75.2 |
| Divorced | 70 | 6.1 |
| Legally Separated | 52 | 4.5 |
| Unknown | 2 | 0.2 |


| Occupation | Absolute | Relative \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1,151 | 100 |
| Legislators, senior officials and managers | 135 | 11.7 |
| Professionals | 169 | 14.7 |
| Technicians and associate professionals | 167 | 14.5 |
| Clerks | 78 | 6.8 |
| Service workers \& shop \& market sales workers | 49 | 4.3 |
| Skilled agricultural and fishery workers | 2 | 0.2 |
| Craft and related trades workers | 31 | 2.7 |
| Plant and machine operators and assemblers | 13 | 1.1 |
| Elementary occupations | 8 | 0.7 |
| Armed forces | 4 | 0.3 |
| Not economically active (Unemployed/Retired) | 483 | 42.0 |
| Unknown | 12 | 1.0 |
| Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba |  |  |
| 2.14 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY TRAVEL PARTY SIZE |  |  |
| Traveling party size |  | Relative \% |
| Total | 1,151 | 100 |
| Traveling alone (1) | 57 | 5.0 |
| Traveling party size of 2 | 813 | 70.6 |
| Traveling party size of 3 | 76 | 6.6 |
| Traveling party size of 4 | 123 | 10.7 |
| Traveling party size of 5 | 20 | 1.7 |
| Traveling party size of 6 | 16 | 1.4 |
| Traveling party size of 7 | 11 | 1.0 |
| Traveling party size of 8 | 12 | 1.0 |
| Traveling party size of 9 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Traveling party size of 10 | 19 | 1.7 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.15 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY GENDER

| Gender | Absolute |  | Relative $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
|  | Male | 642 | 55.8 |
|  | Female | 508 | 44.1 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.16 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY AGE GROUP

| Age group |  | Absolute | Relative \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1,151 | 100 |
|  | Under 20 years | 14 | 1.2 |
|  | 20-29 years | 79 | 6.9 |
|  | 30-39 years | 140 | 12.2 |
|  | 40-49 years | 219 | 19.0 |
|  | 50-64 years | 408 | 35.4 |
|  | Over 64 years | 290 | 25.2 |
|  | Unknown | 1 | 0.1 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

| Income group | Absolute | Relative \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1,151 | 113 |
| Less than US\$20,000 | 42 | 4.1 |
| US\$20,001 - US\$30,000 | 121 | 11.8 |
| US\$30,001 - US\$50,000 | 220 | 21.5 |
| US\$50,001 - US\$75,000 | 306 | 29.9 |
| US\$75,001 - US\$100,000 | 186 | 18.2 |
| US\$100,001 and over | 156 | 15.2 |
| Unknown | 120 | 11.7 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.18 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY EXPENDITURE PER CATEGORY

| Expenditures in US\$ |  | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Food \& Beverage | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |
| Shopping | 6.00 | 5.4 |  |
|  | Excursions / Tours | 81.79 | 73.0 |
| Car rental | 4.10 | 3.7 |  |
| Taxis | 1.88 | 1.7 |  |
|  | 1.88 | 1.7 |  |
| Public transportation | Casino | 0.08 | 0.1 |
|  | 6.90 | 6.2 |  |
| Tax free shopping | 8.68 | 7.7 |  |
| Telephone \& Internet | 0.39 | 0.4 |  |
| Other | 0.40 | 0.4 |  |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.19 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY COST OF CRUISE PACKAGE AND TOURS

 BOUGHT ON BOARD OF SHIP| In US\$ | Absolute |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Package cost |
| Tours / Excursions bought on board of ship | $\$ 1,398.07$ |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.20 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY MOST ENJOYABLE ASPECT OF TRIP TO ARUBA

| Most enjoyable aspect | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shopping | 109 | 9.5 |
| Beaches | 252 | 21.9 |
| Sightseeing | 355 | 30.8 |
| Tours (attractions / recreations) | 36 | 3.1 |
| Casino | 9 | 0.8 |
| Meeting the people of Aruba | 8.0 |  |
| Everything | 92 | 14.1 |
| Watersports (Snorkeling \& Diving) | 162 | 2.0 |
| Submarine | 23 | 1.1 |
| Clean / safe (relaxed / quiet) | 13 | 2.0 |
| Weather (Climate) | 23 | 1.6 |
| Architecture | 18 | 1.0 |
| Other | 11 | 3.6 |
| Nothing | 41 | 0.4 |
| Unknown | 5 | 0.2 |

[^0]| Least enjoyable aspect |  | Absolute |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Relative \% |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Nothing | 713 | 61.9 |
| Weather | 99 | 8.6 |
| Shopping | 68 | 5.9 |
| Casino | 26 | 2.3 |
| Shops close too early \& Sundays | 25 | 2.2 |
| Expensiveness | 11 | 1.0 |
| Traffic | 13 | 1.1 |
| Bus / taxi / car rental service (tour) | 18 | 1.6 |
| Walking (distance to town) | 7 | 0.6 |
| Aggressive sellers (flyers) \& beggars | 13 | 1.1 |
| Roads \& no road signs | 17 | 1.5 |
| No power (electricity) | 15 | 1.3 |
| Telephone | 5 | 0.4 |
| Other | 77 | 6.7 |
| Sightseeing | 12 | 1.0 |
| Beaches | 9 | 0.8 |
| Unknown | 23 | 2.0 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.22 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY SATISFACTION RATES OF THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS

| Satisfaction Rate | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Very } \\ \text { Dissatisfied } \end{gathered}$ | Dissatisfied | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Somewhat Satisfied | Satisfied | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Very } \\ \text { Satisfied } \end{gathered}$ | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shopping | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 3.6\% | 9.6\% | 36.5\% | 27.6\% | 20.7\% |
| Tours / Excursions | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 2.9\% | 15.6\% | 33.4\% | 46.1\% |
| Local Transport. | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 4.7\% | 91.6\% |
| Casino | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 3.4\% | 5.0\% | 89.1\% |
| Beaches | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 9.6\% | 32.2\% | 56.5\% |
| The people of Aruba | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 21.8\% | 52.9\% | 23.4\% |
| Telephone | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.1\% | 91.0\% |
| Taxi | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 4.3\% | 9.0\% | 84.6\% |
| Quality of Service | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% | 22.5\% | 46.0\% | 28.0\% |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.23 INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS BY RATING OF VALUE FOR MONEY OF THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS

| Satisfaction Rate | Very Dissatisfied | Dissatisfied | Somewhat Dissatisfied | Somewhat Satisfied | Satisfied | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Very } \\ \text { Satisfied } \end{gathered}$ | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shopping | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 4.3\% | 13.1\% | 38.9\% | 19.3\% | 21.7\% |
| Tours / Excursions | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 5.3\% | 20.8\% | 24.1\% | 48.1\% |
| Local Transport. | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.4\% | 92.7\% |
| Taxi | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 7.0\% | 5.8\% | 83.8\% |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.24 WHAT PRIMARY FACTORS INFLUENCED PASSENGERS' SHOPPING DECISION

| Primary Factors | Absolute | Relative \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Price of goods | 259 | 22.5 |
| Accessibility of shops | 71 | 6.2 |
| Product specific to Aruba | 412 | 35.8 |
| Good service | 145 | 12.6 |
| Did not shop | 15 | 1.3 |
| Other | 58 | 5.0 |
| Nothing | 8 | 0.7 |
| Unknown | 183 | 15.9 |

[^1] PATTERN WOULD HAVE BEEN DIFFERENT IF THERE HAD BEEN:

| Other Factors | Total | Absolute |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Relative $\%$ |  |  |
| More varied shopping | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| More value for money | 148 | 12.9 |
| More things to do / places to go | 258 | 22.4 |
| Better transportation to stores / attraction | 88 | 7.6 |
| Less aggressive selling or "push" to buy | 29 | 2.5 |
| Would not make any difference (nothing) | 19 | 1.7 |
| If shops open longer / more time (on Sundays) | 153 | 13.3 |
| More time to shop | 71 | 6.2 |
| Don't like to shop | 53 | 4.6 |
| Everything was O.K. | 9 | 0.8 |
| Other | 62 | 5.4 |
| Unknown | 41 | 3.6 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

### 2.26 BASED ON THE PORTS JUST VISITED, THE CHOICE OF PORT THE PASSENGERS

 WOULD LIKE TO RETURN TO IF THEY WERE TO TAKE ANOTHER CRUISE WOULD BE:| Choice of vacation | Absolute | Relative \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1,151 | 100 |
| Aruba | 425 | 36.9 |
| All (Caribbean) | 10 | 0.9 |
| Bahamas | 6 | 0.5 |
| Barbados | 18 | 1.6 |
| Cayman island | 22 | 1.9 |
| Costa Rica | 7 | 0.6 |
| Consumel | 6 | 0.5 |
| Curacao | 16 | 1.4 |
| Mexico | 7 | 0.6 |
| Panama (canal) | 12 | 1.0 |
| Grenada | 7 | 0.6 |
| Jamaica | 8 | 0.7 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 0.3 |
| St. Barths | 4 | 0.3 |
| St. Lucia | 3 | 0.3 |
| St. Thomas | 13 | 1.1 |
| Not the Caribbean | 10 | 0.9 |
| No opinion (Aruba was first port) | 527 | 45.8 |
| Other | 27 | 2.3 |
| Unknown | 19 | 1.7 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
2.27 BASED ON THIS TRIP, WHAT ARE INTERVIEWED PASSENGERS LIKELY TO DO ON THEIR NEXT VACATION

| Willingness to return to Aruba | Absolute | Relative $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Take another cruise | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Visit Aruba for a land-based vacation | 501 | 43.5 |
| Combine cruise and visit Aruba (land-based) | 301 | 26.2 |
| Visit another Caribbean island (land-based) | 99 | 8.6 |
| Other | 97 | 8.4 |
| Go to Europe | 20 | 1.7 |
| Go to (or stay in) the US (road trip) | 27 | 2.3 |
| Go to Alaska | 21 | 1.8 |
| Go to Mexico | 7 | 0.6 |
| Go to Hawaii | 8 | 0.7 |
| Other island (not Caribbean) | 5 | 0.4 |
| Other part of the Caribbean | 5 | 0.4 |
| Do not know (no idea) | 4 | 0.3 |
| Something different / go elsewhere | 29 | 2.5 |
| Other country | 7 | 0.6 |
| Unknown | 15 | 1.3 |


| Cruise passengers | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 12,769 | 13,032 | 21,893 | 29,780 | 32,027 | 32,985 | 34,206 | 45,589 | 42,649 | 45,283 | 69,955 | 67,677 | 77,102 |
| February | 12,496 | 9,824 | 20,515 | 23,289 | 23,501 | 26,122 | 33,922 | 43,601 | 42,516 | 39,445 | 64,504 | 59,304 | 67,877 |
| March | 15,056 | 10,540 | 21,000 | 27,123 | 28,447 | 29,267 | 33,948 | 47,508 | 39,495 | 48,736 | 74,361 | 68,732 | 70,602 |
| April | 12,555 | 11,562 | 20,864 | 26,561 | 29,706 | 27,711 | 31,487 | 33,561 | 28,298 | 24,017 | 58,732 | 62,834 | 73,027 |
| May | 15,584 | 8,749 | 11,997 | 11,931 | 14,927 | 15,467 | 17,318 | 3,037 | 2,362 | 3,948 | 14,213 | 13,363 | 30,695 |
| June | 8,361 | 7,550 | 11,452 | 14,853 | 8,284 | 19,224 | 16,564 | 2,745 | 3,050 | 0 | 12,590 | 16,287 | 22,150 |
| July | 9,198 | 8,877 | 12,962 | 14,479 | 11,804 | 20,480 | 18,796 | 2,666 | 2,465 | 0 | 10,026 | 13,040 | 25,234 |
| August | 9,332 | 11,307 | 13,501 | 15,726 | 10,487 | 22,583 | 18,625 | 4,209 | 3,412 | 604 | 10,415 | 16,285 | 25,737 |
| September | 6,675 | 7,234 | 8,980 | 13,108 | 7,972 | 15,686 | 13,401 | 1,906 | 4,108 | 1,365 | 14,908 | 11,076 | 16,654 |
| October | 9,947 | 13,134 | 18,677 | 18,112 | 26,040 | 23,284 | 21,132 | 26,115 | 14,162 | 14,298 | 32,828 | 36,576 | 36,473 |
| November | 10,538 | 11,680 | 25,955 | 22,680 | 27,033 | 24,069 | 32,206 | 38,691 | 29,216 | 46,554 | 56,137 | 52,163 | 66,988 |
| December | 7,458 | 19,706 | 28,791 | 33,462 | 36,910 | 37,078 | 45,189 | 47,852 | 46,096 | 64,802 | 71,336 | 69,959 | 69,656 |
| Total cruise passengers | 129,969 | 133,195 | 216,587 | 251,104 | 257,138 | 293,956 | 316,794 | 297,480 | 257,829 | 289,052 | 490,005 | 487,296 | 582,195 |

Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba

| Cruise passengers | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 23 | 20 | 34 | 44 | 52 | 43 | 36 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 51 | 43 | 46 |
| February | 19 | 15 | 28 | 35 | 35 | 33 | 29 | 40 | 40 | 32 | 47 | 37 | 42 |
| March | 24 | 18 | 32 | 41 | 41 | 36 | 33 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 52 | 45 | 40 |
| April | 21 | 20 | 31 | 38 | 39 | 31 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 20 | 38 | 40 | 40 |
| May | 23 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 13 |
| June | 10 | 10 | 18 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| July | 12 | 11 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 12 |
| August | 11 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| September | 11 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 14 |
| October | 20 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 31 | 26 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| November | 13 | 21 | 34 | 31 | 29 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 31 | 36 | 33 | 40 |
| December | 11 | 29 | 45 | 49 | 38 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 45 | 50 | 42 | 41 |
| Total cruise calls | 198 | 208 | 320 | 352 | 345 | 311 | 273 | 257 | 255 | 230 | 331 | 298 | 337 |

[^2]
## 3. PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY

### 3.1. Purpose of the Cruise Survey

### 3.1.1. Background of the Cruise Survey

This report is the result of the second Cruise Survey held by an Arubian government entity which is the Central Bureau of Statistics. A previous survey has done in 1997 by the Central Bureau of Statistics with the cooperation of the Cruise Tourism Authority.

Tourism has become one of the major economic sources of the island. Therefore, the use of up-to-date, reliable and comprehensive data is imperative for a successful operation in both the public and private sector. In order to improve the product and implement new marketing strategies, it is important for the policy makers to determine the characteristics of the visitors; how and why they choose Aruba as their destination, who they are, where they come from, how much they spend during their stay and how satisfied they are with the product Aruba. The survey also provides detailed socio-economic characteristics of the visitors, which is important for the definition of appropriate target groups.

The Cruise Survey held in 1997 was an initiative of the Cruise Tourism Authority. In the year 2002, which is 5 years later, the Central Bureau of Statistics chose to carry out an another Cruise Survey. The results of the survey in 2002 were primarily used to compare the results with the results of a survey held in 1997. Later on an comparative study could be done to compare both survey results, 1997 and 2002, to the results of a survey done in 1995 by Price Waterhouse LLP and Florida-Caribbean Cruise Association.

### 3.1.2. Purpose of the Cruise Survey

The Cruise Survey provides the following information:

1. Socio-economic characteristics
2. Passenger Expenditure
3. Level of Satisfaction

The results of the Cruise Survey will enable us to:

- Analyze existing trends and market developments in the cruise industry
- Produce the expenditure patterns of the passengers divided into their place of residence and other characteristics
- Provide information on the country ₹image among visitors


### 3.2. Methodology of the Cruise Survey

### 3.2.1. Set up of the survey

The Cruise Survey took place in the phases. The first period was took place from April 16 thru April 30, 2002 and the second period was from November 26 thru December 10, 2002. The location of the Cruise Survey was in the main port of Aruba, APaardenbaai@The questionnaires were filled out by the interviewers, whom were highly qualified to do this work and the interviewers received training by the project leader and assistants of the Central Bureau of Statistics prior to the fieldwork. The training included how to locate, identify, contact, greet and examine the respondents, as well as how to record responses and terminate the interview. Above all, they were instructed on the importance of following instructions and procedures for the interviews.

Eventhough the survey questions in 2002 remained almost the same as the survey in 1997 for comparative reasons, a new questionnaire was designed in order to make the scanning process of the forms easier. The questionnaire was designed in such a way that it presents the questions with its possible numbered answers with little space for open questions. This time it did not contain coding aids since no manual data-entry was necessary. The scanning system replaced the data-entry system since the year 2000. This scanning program was developed internally by the Central Bureau of Statistics automation department. Each questionnaire received a unique identification number on the front page in order to avoid duplication in the data.

Throughout the interview period a total of 1,151 questionnaires were filled out by the Central Bureau of Statistics survey team. In the first phase a total of 583 questionnaires were filled out and in the second phase 568 , reaching atotal of 1,151 .

We chose for face-to-face interviews, due to the fact that this approach gives a higher response rate and a minimum margin of error. Advantages of a face-to-face interview are:

1. All passengers boarding the ship must come through the gates of the port
2. It can provide extensive information on their expenditures and satisfaction

A disadvantage could be the limited time to cooperate by the passengers. It is worth mentioning, though, that most of the visitors were more than willing to cooperate with the surveys.

### 3.2.2. Definitions

In order to avoid any misunderstandings regarding definitions used in this study, we have listed the most used tourism-related definitions according to World TourismOrganization classifications and the occupations according to the International Standard Classification of Occupation 1988 (see literature list).

### 3.2.2.1. Tourism

## Tourism Expenditure:

The total consumption expenditure made by a visitor or on behalf of a visitor for and during his/her trip and stay at destination. Tourism expenditure encompasses a wide variety of items, ranging from the purchase of consumer goods and services inherent in travel and stays to the purchase of small durable goods for personal use, souvenirs and gifts for family and friends.
The purchases which should be excluded are:

- Purchases for commercial purposes
- Capital investments or transactions engaged in by visitors
- Cash given to relatives or friends which does not represent payment of tourism goods or services, as well as donations made to institutions


## Visitor:

Any person traveling to a place other than that of his usual environment for less than 12 consecutive months and whose main purpose of travel is one other than the exercise of a remunerated activity from within the place visited. Visitors are also classified as tourists if they spend the night away from home, or same-day visitors if they do not.

## Same-day Visitor:

A visitor who does not spend the night in a collective or private accommodation in the place visited.

## Place of Residence:

Consists of the place where the respondent has lived for most of the past year ( 12 months), or for a shorter period, if the respondent intends to return within 12 months to live there.

## Travel party:

Define visitors who are traveling together during the whole or part of their trip and paying most of their expenses on the trip out of a common budget. The travel party normally comprises of individuals traveling together from a single household.

## Purpose of Visit:

Purpose of visit refers to a motivation of the trip. The main purpose of visit refers to the reason in the absence of which, the trip would not have taken place or the given destination would not have been visited.

## Package Tour

A package tour comprises a number of tourist products which are purchased by the visitor as a single entity. There is one single charge for the whole package, which is usually cheaper than the aggregated cost of the items if purchased separately by the visitor. It is identified as a separate item because visitors who are supplying their expenditure breakdown cannot reliably break down their package expenditure into its component parts.

## Yearly household income

Income refers to gross income on a yearly basis. All data on income are presented in US\$. The yearly income includes salaries and wages from employment, profits from businesses, pension, welfare, alimony, etc. The yearly household income is the sum of the separate incomes of all members of the household.
The data will be presented in the following format:

1. Less than US $\$ 20,000$
2. US\$ 20,001-US\$ 30,000
3. US\$ 30,001-US\$ 50,000
4. US\$ 50,001-US\$ 75,000
5. US\$ 75,001-US\$ 100,000
6. US $\$ 100,001$ and over

### 3.2.2.2. Occupation

Occupation refers to the kind of work done, by the person employed. To classify the data on occupation, the International Standard Classification of Occupation (ISCO-88) has been used. The ISCO provides a systematic classification structure which encompasses all occupations of the economically active population. The classification structure consists of four levels: major groups, sub-major groups, minor groups and unit groups. There are ten major groups.

The ten major groups of the ISCO classification are:

1. Legislators, senior officials, and managers;
2. Professionals;
3. Technicians and associate professionals;
4. Clerks;
5. Service workers and shop and market sales workers;
6. Skilled agricultural and fishery workers;
7. Craft and related trades workers;
8. Plant and machine operators and assemblers;
9. Elementary occupations;

0 . Armed forces.
For analysis purposes we used the major groups only. In the following please find a description of the classification according to SCO-88.

## 1. Legislators, senior officials, and managers

This major group consists of occupations in which policy-making and high level management play a primary role. These functions can be executed in the private and public sector. Managers at a lower level do not belong to this category.

## 2. Professionals

This major group includes occupations whose main tasks require a high level of professional knowledge and experience in the fields of physical and life sciences, or social sciences and humanities. The tasks of the members of this group consist of increasing knowledge and experience, applying scientific concepts and theories to the solution of problems, and teaching the foregoing in a systematic manner.

## 3. Technicians and associate professionals

This major group requires technical knowledge and experience in one or more fields of physical and life sciences, or social sciences and humanities. The main tasks consist of carrying out technical work connected with the applications of concepts and operational methods in the above-mentioned fields, and in teaching at certain educational levels.

## 4. Clerks

Occupations with as main tasks secretarial duties, operating word processors and other office machines, recording and computing numerical data, and performing a number of customer-oriented clerical duties, mostly in connection with mail services, money-handling operations, and appointments.

## 5. Service workers and shop and market sales workers

This major group consists of occupations which as main tasks provide services related to travel, house-keeping, catering, personal care, protection of individuals and property, and to maintain law and order, or selling goods in shops or on the market.

## 6. Skilled agricultural and fishery workers

The main responsibilities of this group consist of growing crops, breeding or hunting animals, catching or cultivating fish, conserving and exploiting forests, and, especially in the case of market-oriented agricultural and fishery workers, selling products to purchasers, marketing organizations, or at markets.

## 7. Craft and related trades workers

The main tasks of these occupations consist of extracting raw materials, constructing buildings and other structures, and making various products as well as handicraft goods.

## 8. Plant and machine operators and assemblers

The occupations within this major group are involved in operating and monitoring mining, processing, and production machinery and equipment, as well as driving vehicles and operating mobile plants, or assembling products from component parts. These occupations require the knowledge and the experience to operate this machinery.

## 9. Elementary occupations

This major group covers occupations which require the knowledge and experience to perform mostly simple and routine tasks involving the use of hand-held tools and in some cases, considerable physical effort. Only in a few cases do these occupations require personal initiative and judgment. The main tasks consist of selling goods in the street, door keeping and property watching, as well as cleaning, washing and pressing, and working as laborers in the fields of mining, agriculture and fishing, construction and manufacturing.

## 0. Armed forces

Members of the armed forces are those personnel who are currently serving in the armed forces, including auxiliary services, whether on a voluntary or compulsory basis, and who are not free to accept civilian employment. It includes conscripts enrolled for military training or other services for a specified period.

## 00. Not economically active population

For the benefit of the analysis we include also the following categories of not economically active population: income recipients, students, home makers and other non-economically active persons.

### 3.2.3. Preparation of the Cruise Visitor Survey

The preparation of the Cruise visitor Survey started in early 2002. The questionnaire, which is enclosed (see annex 1), was prepared based on the questionnaire used in 1997 for comparative reasons. In 1997 the questionnaire used was compared to the questions of the survey held by Price Waterhouse and Florida-Caribbean Association as guideline for comparisons. The questionnaire was prepared in English and can eventually be expanded into more languages in case of more surveys. For the training of the interviewers, a manual was prepared in which explanations were given on how to conduct the survey.

### 3.2.4. Sampling

The main objective of this survey is to gather representative information on the different categories of cruise tourism expenditures. This implicates the use of a random (a-select) sampling method. The ships that participated during the survey period, eventhough not really chosen at random, were not selected by choice either. The ships that visited Aruba were chosen by chance based on the two beforehand chosen periods by the CBS. The approached passengers were chosen at random to participate with the survey.

The survey sampling size (sample population) needed to be no less than 1,020 respondents in order to have a result which can be considered to be representative for the whole population. The number of the sample is calculated based on the following formula:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
n=z^{2} * s^{2} & n=\text { sample size } \\
-\cdots--------\quad z=\text { confidence level } \\
e^{2} & \begin{array}{l}
s=\text { standard deviation } \\
e=\text { margin of error }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

The calculated sample size obtained needs to be sufficient so that even the expenditure with the smallest value is representative to make generalizations. The sample size calculation was also made with the conditions that the result has to be 95 percent confident with a margin of error of 5 percent.

The stratification of the population is based on the size (capacity) of the ships that were scheduled to come to Aruba in the chosen survey period or the number of passengers on board of the ships. The relative distribution of the passengers on board of all of the ships has been used. The 1,020 respondents were evenly distributed over the two
chosen survey periods and then relatively over the number of ships in each survey period. Each interviewer was provided with a cruise schedule to enable them to better plan their interviews.
The results of the survey offers information that is hardly available in Aruba. For this reason, some generalizations were made while keeping in mind that it has a margin of error of 5 percent.

### 3.2.5. Fieldwork

The fieldwork took place every day starting April 16 to April 30 and November 26 to December 10. Due to the fact that the interviewers were instructed to carefully select respondents according to the sampling plan as indicated above, they were supervised by a Central Bureau of Statistics team and also in case that they encountered any problems for which they were not prepared to handle themselves they could request assistance.
At the end of each day the completed questionnaires were taken to the Central Bureau of Statistics and evaluated by the supervisors in each of the following four areas:

- Legibility - are all responses to all questions readable?
- Intelligibility - are responses to open-ended questions understandable?
- Completeness - are all questions answered?
- Consistency - are all answers recorded in a given instrument consistent with one another?

If the questionnaire did not meet with one of the requirements, the interviewer was requested to either revise it or complete an extra interview.

### 3.2.6. Data Processing \& Analyzing

The department of Tourism Statistics is responsible for the control, verifying, and editing of the questionnaire data while the department of Automation assisted with the scanning program and the scanning process. The control of the forms consisted of execution of validity and consistency tests. Variables related to expenditures during and/or prior to passengerf visit and other variables were checked for (scanning) reading errors during the control and verifying process. During the editing phase, other consistency checks were applied to try to eliminate possible errors in the data. Here is where the average calculations were made based on each respondent's recorded expenses. The use of outlier has not been applied eventhough in some expenditure categories, very large numbers were left out by using standard deviation principle. Outliers are unusual large or small observations relative to the other values in the data set.

According to the W.T.O. (World Tourism Organization), unusual large or small observations can also happen in reality and for this reason, in reasonable circumstances, should not be left out of the set of data. Instead of using the outlier, the recorded expenditure information is double checked for errors. A frequency of the data is run and a cumulative percentage is applied to the frequency. The frequency of the data set appearing between the percentages 95 to 100 were checked for consistency and double checked for data-entry errors. After correction of the mistakes or confirmation of correct answers, the data set is ready to be used.
The principle of standard deviation was applied to the data set this time. After some findings during the editing process, this principle was applied to leave out all the large numbers which exceed three times the standard deviation. The decision to use this principle was taken in order to reach an expenditure average which is representative for the total cruise population. Finally different tables were made.

## 4. ANNEX

4.1. Annex 1- Cruise Visitor Survey Questionnaire


[^0]:    Source: Cruise Visitor Survey 2002 - Central Bureau of Statistics-Aruba
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